

REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **2 March 2006**, the Examiner reviewed claims 1-20. Claims 1-2, 9-10, and 17-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ebergen et al (WO 200223409, hereinafter “Ebergen”), in view of Huang et al (USPN 5,446,676, hereinafter “Huang”). Claims 3-8, 11-16, and 19-20 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Independent claims 1, 9, and 17 were rejected as being unpatentable over Ebergen in view of Huang.

Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 9, and 17 to include allowable limitations from dependent claims 3, 11, and 19, respectively.

Dependent claims 3, 11, and 19 have been canceled without prejudice.


Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 9, and 17 as presently amended are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 2 and 4-8, which depend upon claim 1, claims 10 and 12-16, which depend upon claim 9, and claims 18 and 20, which depend upon claim 17, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By


Edward J. Grundler
Registration No. 47,615

Date: 25 May 2006

Edward J. Grundler
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
2820 Fifth Street
Davis, CA 95616-7759
Tel: (530) 759-1663
FAX: (530) 759-1665
Email: edward@parklegal.com